RADICAL NATIONALISM IN NIGERIA, 1945-1960

Morgan Ogbonna
Department of History and International Studies,
Babcock University
ogbonna0281@pg.babcock.edu.ng

Abstract:
This study explores the emergence and trajectory of radical nationalism in Nigeria between 1945 and 1960, a period marked by intense anticolonial agitation and sociopolitical transformation. Drawing on archival records, a theoretical framework which allows for a comprehensive understanding of the socio-political dynamics that shaped Nigerian nationalism during this period, party manifestos, and contemporary accounts, the study traces how a new generation of nationalist leaders—including Nnamdi Azikiwe, Obafemi Awolowo, and Aminu Kano—challenged both colonial authority and earlier, more moderate nationalist strategies. The research argues that radical nationalist organizations and labour unions, influenced by global currents of decolonization and leftist thought, pressed for immediate self-government and mass political participation. It examines pivotal moments such as the 1945 general strike, the Zikist Movement's radical activism, and the formation of regionally rooted parties driven by the principle of self-determination. By situating Nigerian radical nationalism within broader pan-African and Cold War contexts, the study shows how internal debates about ethnicity, class, and political strategy shaped the road to independence in 1960. Ultimately, the study contends that while radical nationalists played a central role in ending colonial rule, their vision and practice left complex legacies for postcolonial Nigerian politics.

Keywords: Radical, Nationalism, Colonialism, Movements, Nigeria.

Introduction

The period between 1945 and 1960 marked a transformative era in Nigeria's political history, characterized by a surge in radical nationalist agitation against British colonial rule. Unlike earlier phases of elite-driven constitutional nationalism, the post-World War II era witnessed the emergence of a more militant, grassroots-oriented nationalism, influenced by global decolonization currents, Pan-Africanist ideologies, and the lived experiences of war veterans, trade unionists, and urban intelligentsia. Radical nationalists in Nigeria sought not merely political independence but a structural transformation of the colonial state, advocating for economic emancipation, social justice, and African dignity (Falola & Aderinto, 2011, p.41).

This phase of nationalism was distinguished by a growing disenchantment with gradualist and accommodationist tactics previously employed by Nigeria's political elites. Groups such as the Zikist Movement, factions within the Nigerian Youth Movement, and emerging socialist-leaning unions, voiced strident critiques of colonial policies and challenged the legitimacy of British rule through speeches, strikes, publications, and civil disobedience. Inspired by figures like Nnamdi Azikiwe, but often diverging from his moderate stance, radical nationalists promoted a revolutionary ethos that sought to redefine the Nigerian nation on terms dictated by its indigenous populations (Iweriebor, 1996, p.85).

The postwar global environment further accelerated this radicalism. The Atlantic Charter, the decolonization of India, and the intensification of anti-colonial struggles across Africa and Asia emboldened Nigerian activists. At the same time, structural economic hardship, racial discrimination, and colonial repression deepened political consciousness among workers, students, and returnee soldiers. British attempts to suppress radical agitation through arrests, censorship, and surveillance often had the opposite effect, galvanizing broader public support for the nationalist cause.

Yet, by the late 1950s, radical nationalism began to fragment, overtaken by regionally based party politics, elite compromises, and Cold War realignments that favored moderate leadership. Nonetheless, the radical nationalist tradition left a significant imprint on Nigeria's political evolution, shaping debates on sovereignty, identity, and the postcolonial state (Huntington, 1978, p. 102).

This article examines the ideological foundations, organizational strategies, and socio-political impact of radical nationalism in Nigeria from 1945 to 1960. It argues that while ultimately sidelined in the immediate post-independence era, radical nationalism provided a powerful critique of colonialism and a vision of emancipation that remains relevant in contemporary assessments of Nigeria's nation-building trajectory.

Historical Context

The rise of radical nationalism in Nigeria between 1945 and 1960 was shaped by a combination of socio-political and economic factors under British colonial rule. This period saw increased agitation for self-governance, driven by various groups including ex-World War II soldiers and trade union leaders. The push for independence culminated in Nigeria achieving sovereignty in 1960 (Tijani, 2005, p.48).

The end of World War II marked a turning point in Nigerian nationalism. Nigerian soldiers who had fought alongside British forces returned home with new perspectives and a heightened awareness of the global movement towards decolonization. They were dissatisfied with the political and economic conditions in Nigeria and became prominent voices in the call for change. Colonial rule in Nigeria was characterized by economic exploitation and limited political participation for Nigerians (Nair, 1989, p.202). The colonial administration prioritized the interests of European firms, leading to widespread discontent among Nigerians who felt marginalized and disenfranchised. The post-war era saw the rise of several nationalist movements that sought to challenge British rule. These movements employed various strategies, including strikes, newspaper publications, trade unionism, and boycotts, to pressure the colonial government to relinquish power. Key figures such as Michael Imoudu, Nnamdi Azikiwe, and Obafemi Awolowo played pivotal roles in mobilizing the population and advocating for self-governance. The media played a crucial role in galvanizing public opinion and promoting the nationalist cause (Nair, 1989, p.180). Newspapers such as the West African Pilot and the Nigerian Tribune served as platforms for nationalist leaders to articulate their views and mobilize support for their cause. These publications helped to raise awareness of the injustices of colonial rule and inspire Nigerians to demand change. Trade unions, such as the Nigerian Union of Railway men, also played a significant role in the nationalist struggle. They organized strikes and protests to demand better working conditions and increased wages for Nigerian workers. The general strike of 1945, which involved over 40,000 workers, demonstrated the power of collective action and further pressured the colonial government to address the grievances of the Nigerian people. In response to the growing pressure from nationalist movements, the British colonial government initiated a series of constitutional reforms aimed at increasing Nigerian participation in governance (Wilson, 1969, p.21). These reforms gradually increased Nigerian self-governance at the regional level, paving the way for complete independence on October 1, 1960.

Theoretical Framework

A theoretical framework for analyzing radical nationalism in Nigeria from 1945 to 1960 can be constructed using insights from postcolonial studies, social movement theory, and historical materialism. This framework allows for a comprehensive understanding of the socio-political dynamics that shaped Nigerian nationalism during this period (Webster et al, 1967, p.134).

Postcolonial Theory

Examines the impact of colonial rule on colonized societies, focusing on issues of identity, power, and resistance. Key concepts include:

Hybridity: Colonialism created new cultural forms as colonizers and colonized interacted. This hybridity influenced the character of Nigerian nationalism, blending indigenous traditions with Western ideologies.

Orientalism: Colonial discourse often depicted colonized people as inferior, justifying colonial rule. Nationalist movements challenged these stereotypes, asserting the value and dignity of Nigerian culture and identity (Webster et al, 1967, p.41).

Subaltern Studies: Focuses on the experiences and perspectives of marginalized groups. Understanding the role of workers, peasants, and women in the nationalist struggle provides a more nuanced view of Nigerian nationalism.

Social Movement Theory

Provides tools for analyzing the emergence, mobilization, and outcomes of nationalist movements. Key concepts include:

Resource Mobilization: Examines how nationalist movements acquired and used resources such as money, media, and organizational skills to advance their cause.

Political Opportunity Structure: Analyzes how changes in the political environment, such as shifts in colonial policy or the rise of international anti-colonial norms, created opportunities for nationalist movements to gain influence (Langley, 1993, p.102).

Framing: Examines how nationalist leaders constructed narratives and symbols to mobilize support and challenge colonial rule.

Historical Materialism

Focuses on the role of economic factors in shaping historical processes. Key concepts include:

Class Struggle: Colonialism created new class divisions in Nigeria, with a small elite benefiting from colonial rule while most of the population faced exploitation and poverty. Nationalist movements often articulated the grievances of the working class and peasantry.

Dependency Theory: Colonialism created a dependent relationship between Nigeria and Britain, with Nigeria serving as a source of raw materials and a market for manufactured goods. Nationalist movements sought to break this dependency and promote economic development.

Uneven Development: Colonial policies favored certain regions and ethnic groups over others, leading to disparities in wealth and development. This contributed to ethnic tensions and complicated the task of nation-building Rodey, 2005(Revised), p.181).

By integrating these theoretical perspectives, researchers can gain a deeper understanding of the complex factors that shaped radical nationalism in Nigeria from 1945 to 1960. This framework allows for a nuanced analysis of the interplay between colonial power, social movements, economic structures, and cultural identities in the struggle for independence.

Specific examples of radical nationalist movements in Nigeria

Several movements and events exemplify radical nationalism in Nigeria between 1945 and 1960. These movements were characterized by their strong anti-colonial stance, demands for immediate self-governance, and willingness to employ assertive tactics to achieve their goals.

Nigerian Youth Movement (NYM)

Founded in 1933, the NYM became a prominent force in Nigerian nationalism by the 1940s. It sought to unify Nigerians across ethnic lines and advocated for greater political participation and eventual self-governance. The NYM's activities helped raise political consciousness and mobilize support for nationalist goals (Lande, 1987, p.141).

.

National Council of Nigeria and the Cameroons (NCNC)

Led by Nnamdi Azikiwe, the NCNC was another key nationalist movement that gained prominence after World War II. It advocated for Pan-Nigerian nationalism and greater autonomy for Nigeria. Azikiwe's leadership and the NCNC's activities played a significant role in mobilizing popular support for independence.

Trade Union Movements

The rise of trade unionism also contributed to radical nationalism in Nigeria. The 1945 general strike, led by Michael Imoudu, demonstrated the power of organized labor in challenging colonial rule and demanding better working conditions and wages (Ikoku, 1962, p.47). This strike involved over 42,000 workers and paralyzed economic activities, compelling the colonial government to address workers' grievances.

Egba Women's Revolt

The Egba Women's Revolt against colonial taxation in Egbaland is another example of assertive resistance to colonial policies. This revolt demonstrated the willingness of Nigerian women to challenge colonial authorities and demand greater control over their economic and political lives (Coleman, 1972, p.112).

The Zikist Movement

The Zikist Movement, inspired by Nnamdi Azikiwe's teachings, was a more radical nationalist movement that emerged in the late 1940s. It advocated for immediate self-government and employed more confrontational tactics, including civil disobedience. Although short-lived, the Zikist Movement had a significant impact on the radicalization of Nigerian nationalism.

Main Causes of Radical Nationalism in Nigeria

Radical nationalism in Nigeria between 1945 and 1960 was primarily fueled by political, economic, and social factors related to British colonial rule.

Nigerians had limited participation in their own governance, which led to widespread discontent. The educated elite and traditional rulers alike found colonial policies unfavorable, spurring them to seek greater autonomy and self-determination. Colonial economic policies favored European firms, exploiting Nigerian labor and resources for the benefit of the British Empire. Nigerians faced job discrimination and lower wages compared to their European counterparts, heightening economic grievance Falola & Heaton et al, 1972, p. 55). Racial discrimination was rampant, with Nigerians treated as inferior by the British colonial administration. This discrimination was evident in social interactions, economic opportunities, and political representation. Colonial policies that undermined indigenous values and traditions also fueled nationalist sentiments, leading to cultural nationalism expressed through wearing African dress and establishing African churches. Nigerian soldiers returning from World War II played a significant role in radicalizing the nationalist movement. Having fought alongside British forces, they realized the British were not invincible and became more determined to fight for their own independence (Hodkin, 1988, p.301). The media, particularly newspapers like the West African Pilot and the Nigerian Tribune, played a crucial role in fostering national consciousness and disseminating nationalist ideas. Trade unions, such as the Nigerian Union of Railway men, organized strikes and protests to demand better working conditions and challenge colonial authority. The general strike of 1945, involving over 40,000 workers, demonstrated the power of collective action and further pressured the colonial government

Key Events that shaped Nigerian nationalism

Several key events shaped Nigerian nationalism, particularly between 1945 and 1960. These events reflected a growing demand for self-governance and an end to British colonial rule.

Nigerian soldiers who fought alongside British forces during World War II returned home with a new perspective. They had witnessed the world and felt that they deserved better than the existing political and economic conditions in Nigeria, becoming strong voices for change.

Various nationalist movements arose, employing tactics such as strikes, newspaper publications, and trade unionism to pressure the colonial government (Ikime (ed), 1972, p.335). Key figures like Nnamdi Azikiwe and Obafemi Awolowo mobilized the population and advocated for self-governance. Newspapers such as the West African Pilot and the Nigerian Tribune played a crucial role in raising awareness and galvanizing public opinion against colonial rule. These publications provided platforms for nationalist leaders to articulate their views and mobilize support. Trade unions, such as the Nigerian Union of Railway men, organized strikes and protests to demand better working conditions and wages. The general strike of 1945, involving over 40,000 workers, demonstrated the power of collective action and further pressured the colonial government. In response to growing nationalist pressure, the British colonial government initiated constitutional reforms to increase Nigerian participation in governance. These reforms led to increased self-governance at the regional level and ultimately resulted in Nigeria achieving complete independence on October 1, 1960

Key Actors and their Activities

Several key figures played significant roles in the rise of Nigerian nationalism, advocating for self-governance and independence from British colonial rule. These nationalists employed various strategies, including political activism, journalism, and grassroots mobilization, to achieve their goals.

Herbert Macaulay

Often regarded as the "father of Nigerian nationalism," Herbert Macaulay (1864-1946) was pivotal in early nationalist activities. He founded the Nigerian National Democratic Party (NNDP) in 1922, the first political party in Nigeria, which dominated Nigerian politics until 1938. Macaulay also established the Lagos Daily News; a newspaper used to mobilize support and challenge the colonial government.

Nnamdi Azikiwe

Nnamdi Azikiwe (1904-1996), also known as "Zik," was a leading journalist and nationalist politician. He founded the West African Pilot in 1937, a newspaper that promoted political enlightenment and exposed the issues of colonialism. Azikiwe co-founded the National Council of Nigeria and the Cameroons (NCNC) in 1944 and later became its president. He served as Nigeria's first indigenous Governor-General in 1960 and became the first President of Nigeria in 1963 (Ikoku, 1962, p.87).

Obafemi Awolowo

Obafemi Awolowo (1909-1987) founded the Nigerian Tribune in 1949, using it to spread national consciousness. He also founded the Action Group party, which dominated politics in the Western Region during the First Republic (Ikoku, 1962, p44). As the Premier of the Western Region, Awolowo's government initiated social welfare programs such as free primary education and healthcare.

Ahmadu Bello

Ahmadu Bello (1909-1966), the Sardauna of Sokoto, was a prominent figure in Northern Nigeria. He joined Jam'iyya Mutanen Arewa, which later became the Northern People's Congress (NPC) in 1951. In 1954, he became the first Premier of Northern Nigeria. Although he embraced reforms gradually, his leadership was crucial in shaping the political landscape of Northern Nigeria.

Tafawa Balewa

Tafawa Balewa (1912-1966) was elected to the Northern House of Assembly in 1946 and later joined the NPC. He was elected to the Federal House of Representatives and served as Minister of Works Olema, 1972, p.112). In 1959, he became the first Prime Minister of Nigeria, playing a key role in the formation of the Organization of African Unity (OAU)

Michael Imoudu

Michael Imoudu was a prominent trade union leader who played a crucial role in the Nigerian labor movement. As the leader of the Nigerian Union of Railwaymen, he led protests against poor working conditions, significantly contributing to the nationalist struggle

These individuals, among others, were instrumental in Nigeria's struggle for independence, each contributing in their unique ways to the ultimate goal of self-determination (Falola et al, 1972, p.88).

How World War 11 affected Nigerian nationalism

World War II significantly influenced Nigerian nationalism by fostering a sense of national pride and revealing the limitations of colonial rule. The war experience inspired a push for self-determination and independence among Nigerians.

The war heightened political consciousness among Nigerians. Influential political leaders, such as Nnamdi Azikiwe and Herbert Macaulay, who had previously criticized British colonial rule, urged Nigerians to support the war effort (Soyinka, 1986, p.77). This unity during the war laid the groundwork for post-war nationalist movements. The war had interwoven effects on the daily economic, political, and social life of Nigerians. Nigerians perceived the war as thrust upon the British Empire by external aggression, leading them to support British policies. Upon returning to Nigeria, Nigerian troops were excluded from the victory speech by the commanding officer, General William Slim, which led to frustration with the colonial administration. Despite fighting for the Allied objectives, the lack of recognition, combined with a renewed sense of national pride, significantly contributed to the post-war push for independence (Achebe, 1988, p.60). The experiences of Nigerian soldiers during the war inspired the population to campaign for independence. The deliberate efforts of Nigerian World War II veterans to advance their interests amid radical anticolonial nationalism helped them mediate colonial rule in Nigeria.

Influence of Socialism and Communism on Nigerian Nationalism

Ideological Inspiration: Socialist and communist ideas provided a framework for critiquing colonial capitalism and advocating for social justice and equality. These concepts resonated with many Nigerian nationalists who sought to create a more equitable society after independence.

Communism, with its strong anti-imperialist stance, aligned with the goals of Nigerian nationalists seeking to overthrow British colonial rule (Rodney, 2005, p.123). The promise of a society free from foreign domination was a powerful motivator.

. Socialist principles influenced the formation of labor unions and workers' movements, which played a crucial role in advocating for better working conditions and political rights. Activists like Michael Imoudu and Wahab Goodluck were central figures in the radical scene, with Goodluck even founding the Nigeria Labour Congress.

Student radicals, inspired by both Indian independence and ideologies from Maoist China and the USSR, became key agitators for sovereignty. Groups in universities, such as those at Ibadan and Nsukka, became hotbeds of radical thought and activism. Frank Macaulay, son of Herbert Macaulay (often called the father of Nigerian nationalism), was one of Nigeria's first documented Marxists. He was followed by labor activists such as Nduka Eze and Michael Imoudu.

Socialist Workers' and Farmers' Party of Nigeria (SWAFP): Founded in 1963, this party was pro-Soviet and aimed to implement socialist policies in Nigeria. Tunji Otegbeye was instrumental in its establishment (Falola & Aderinto, 2011, p.76).

Communist Party of Nigeria (CPN) existed, it remained somewhat isolated from international communist movements, not forming strong ties with either the Soviet Union or China.

The emergence of regional, religious, and ethnic politics often overshadowed ideological movements like socialism and communism. These divisions made it difficult for socialist ideologies to gain broad appeal. British authorities implemented measures to suppress leftist activities in Nigeria, fearing the spread of communism and its potential to destabilize colonial rule. Some argue that the "Nigerian hustle mentality" and aversion to taxes hindered the establishment of a socialist state, as many Nigerians prioritize individual economic advancement over collective welfare (Wilson, 1969, p.98).

The influence of socialism and communism on radical nationalism in Nigeria between 1945 and 1960 was significant. These ideologies provided both inspiration and a framework for challenging colonial rule and advocating for social change (Nair, 1989, p54). However, the impact was limited by ethnic divisions, anti-communist policies, and certain aspects of the Nigerian socio-political landscape.

Main challenges of Nigeria nationalism

Nigerian nationalism faced several significant challenges, particularly in the period leading up to and immediately following independence in 1960. These challenges stemmed from the country's diverse ethnic and regional composition, socio-economic disparities, and the legacy of colonial rule.

Nigeria is composed of numerous ethnic groups, each with its own distinct language, culture, and historical identity. This diversity often led to regionalism and ethnic nationalism, hindering the development of a unified national identity (Obasanjo, 1982, p.223). The northern and southern regions of Nigeria experienced different cultural and historical influences, further complicating the integration process. Economic exploitation and job discrimination led to grievances among Nigerians. Colonial policies favored European firms, limiting economic opportunities for Nigerians and creating disparities in wealth and development across different regions. The amalgamation of disparate groups into a single political entity by the British created inherent challenges for nation-building. Colonial policies that favored certain ethnic groups or regions over others exacerbated existing tensions and created new divisions. The transition to nationhood in Nigeria was hampered by political instability Dike, 1980, p. 67). The struggle for power among different ethnic and regional factions often resulted in political crises and violence. The absence of strong, unifying national institutions further contributed to the challenges of nation-building.

How Nigeria History impacted its nationalism

Nigeria's history significantly shaped its nationalism, influencing its trajectory, character, and challenges. Colonial rule, ethnic diversity, and the struggle for independence have all left lasting imprints on Nigerian nationalism (Dike, 1980, p.88).

British colonial rule played a pivotal role in the rise of Nigerian nationalism. Colonialism led to the exploitation of Nigerian labor and resources, benefiting European firms more than Nigerians themselves. It also limited Nigerians' political participation in governing their country, leading to widespread discontent and anti-colonial movements. In the 1930s, Nigerian nationalists organized attacks on the British colonial government. The Nigerian Youth Movement (NYM), founded in 1933, sought support from all Nigerians, regardless of cultural background, and grew into a powerful political movement (Coleman, 1972, p.225). Early nationalists, such as Herbert Macaulay, aroused political awareness through newspapers like the Lagos Daily News. Nigeria's diverse ethnic and regional composition has significantly impacted its nationalism. Nigerian nationalism was geographically significant in southern Nigeria, while a comparable organization did not emerge in northern Nigeria until the 1940s (Achebe, 1988, p.23). Southern Nigeria faced ethnic divisions between the Igbo and the Yoruba, while northern Nigeria, dominated by the Hausa, was more politically unified. Since Nigeria's independence in 1960, the government has sought to unify the various peoples and regions of the country. However, ethnic violence and repression have negatively affected Nigerian nationalism, leading to secessionist movements demanding independence from Nigeria.

Effects of colonialism on Nigeria nationalism

Colonialism had profound and multifaceted effects on Nigerian nationalism, shaping its emergence, character, and trajectory. These effects include the rise of anti-colonial sentiments, the formation of nationalist movements, the exacerbation of ethnic and regional divisions, and the eventual push for independence (Atanda, 1989, p.44).

Colonial rule led to the exploitation of Nigeria's resources and labor for the benefit of the British, fostering resentment and anti-colonial sentiments among Nigerians. Discriminatory practices, such as job discrimination and racial segregation, further fueled these sentiments. The failure of the colonial administration to appreciate indigenous cultures also led to criticism from nationalists. The shared experience of colonial oppression led to the formation of nationalist movements aimed at achieving self-governance and independence (Ajayi, 1981, p.67). Early political parties, such as the Nigerian National Democratic Party (NNDP), and youth movements, such as the Nigerian Youth Movement (NYM), mobilized Nigerians across ethnic and regional lines to challenge colonial rule. Nationalist leaders like Nnamdi Azikiwe and Obafemi Awolowo used newspapers and political platforms to advocate for self-determination. Colonial policies sometimes exacerbated existing ethnic and regional divisions, complicating the development of a unified national identity. The amalgamation of diverse peoples and regions into a single political entity, without sufficient regard for their distinct identities and interests, created challenges for nation-building. The cumulative effects of colonialism on Nigerian nationalism ultimately led to a sustained push for independence (Ikime, (ed), 1972, p.285). Nationalist movements, political parties, and civil society groups pressured the British colonial government to grant self-governance to Nigeria. This culminated in Nigeria achieving independence on October 1, 1960

How Nigeria's history of ethnic violence impacted its nationalism

Nigeria's history of ethnic violence has significantly impacted its nationalism, creating challenges for national unity and identity. These conflicts have deep roots in the country's colonial past and have manifested in various forms, including political tensions, social unrest, and armed conflicts.

Ethnic violence has undermined the sense of national unity in Nigeria. The country's diverse ethnic groups often have competing interests and historical grievances, which have been exploited by political elites for their gain (Iweriebor, 1996, p.66). This has led to a lack of trust and cooperation among different ethnic groups, hindering the development of a shared national identity. In some cases, ethnic violence has fueled secessionist movements and separatist sentiments. For example, the Nigerian Civil War (1967-1970) was largely a result of ethnic tensions between the Igbo people of the southeast and the rest of Nigeria (Tijani, 2005, p.95). The war and its aftermath led to the marginalization of the Igbo, and to the rise of Igbo nationalism and groups seeking a separate Biafran state. Nigeria's history of ethnic violence has led to the politicization of identity, where ethnic and religious affiliations have become primary markers of political allegiance. This has made it difficult to build a cohesive national identity based on shared values and goals. Instead, politics often revolves around competition for resources and power among different ethnic groups. Ethnic violence has weakened national institutions, such as the police and the judiciary, as they are often seen as being biased or ineffective in addressing ethnic grievances and conflicts (Langley, 1993, p.106). This has further eroded trust in the state and made it more difficult to promote national unity. The long-term effects of ethnic violence on Nigerian nationalism include a fractured national identity, persistent political instability, and a weakened sense of national unity. Addressing these challenges requires promoting inclusive governance, equitable resource distribution, and reconciliation efforts to heal the wounds of past conflicts.

Economic implications of radical nationalism in Nigeria to the colonialists

Radical nationalism in Nigeria had significant economic implications for the colonialists, impacting their control over resources, trade, and overall economic dominance. These implications can be viewed through various lenses, including disruptions to colonial economic policies, increased operational costs, and the eventual loss of economic control.

Radical nationalist movements challenged and disrupted colonial economic policies designed to benefit the British Empire. Nationalist agitation, strikes, and protests hindered the smooth operation of colonial economic activities, such as resource extraction, agricultural production, and trade (Olusanya, 1990, p.225). For instance, the 1945 general strike, led by Michael Imoudu, involved over 42,000 workers and paralyzed economic activities, compelling the colonial government to address workers' grievances.

The rise of radical nationalism led to increased operational costs for colonial administration. Maintaining law and order in the face of nationalist unrest requires greater investment in security forces and administrative personnel. Repressive measures, such as suppressing protests and censoring the press, also incurred financial costs.

Radical nationalism posed a threat to colonial investments in Nigeria. Nationalist rhetoric often targeted foreign-owned businesses and infrastructure, creating an uncertain and unstable environment for investors. The potential for nationalization or expropriation of assets loomed large, discouraging further investment and leading some businesses to scale back their operations (Rodney, 1972, 281).

Radical nationalism sought to dismantle the preferential trade agreements that favored British businesses. Nationalists advocated for policies that would protect local industries and promote economic self-reliance. This threatened the privileged position of British companies in the Nigerian market and reduced their ability to exploit Nigerian resources and consumers.

Ultimately, radical nationalism in Nigeria contributed to the loss of economic control by the colonialists. As Nigeria moved closer to independence, nationalist leaders demanded greater control over economic policy and natural resources. The colonial government was forced to concede ground, gradually relinquishing its grip on the Nigerian economy. By the time Nigeria gained independence in 1960, the economic landscape had shifted significantly, with Nigerians poised to take control of their own economic destiny (Hyman(ed), 1994, p.221).

How Nigerian nationalism impacted the British economy

Nigerian nationalism significantly impacted the British economy by disrupting trade, increasing operational costs, threatening colonial investments, and ultimately leading to the loss of economic control.

Disruptions to Colonial Economic Policies

Nigerian nationalism challenged and disrupted colonial economic policies that were designed to benefit the British Empire. Nationalist movements employed tactics such as strikes and protests, which hindered the smooth operation of colonial economic activities, including resource extraction and trade (Alimi, 2023, p.65). The 1945 general strike, involving over 42,000 workers, paralyzed economic activities and compelled the colonial government to address workers' grievances.

Increased Operational Costs

The rise of Nigerian nationalism led to increased operational costs for the colonial administration. Maintaining law and order in the face of nationalist unrest required greater investment in security forces and administrative personnel. Repressive measures, such as suppressing protests and censoring the press, also incurred financial costs.

Threat of Colonial Investments

Nigerian nationalism posed a threat to colonial investments in Nigeria. Nationalist rhetoric often targeted foreign-owned businesses and infrastructure, creating an uncertain and unstable environment for investors. The potential for nationalization or expropriation of assets loomed large, discouraging further investment and leading some businesses to scale back their operations.

Erosion of Trade Advantages

Nationalist movements sought to dismantle the preferential trade agreements that favored British businesses. They advocated for policies that would protect local industries and promote economic self-reliance. This threatened the privileged position of British companies in the Nigerian market and reduced their ability to exploit Nigerian resources and consumers Olasupo & Ijeoma, 2017, p.261-288). Nigerian nationalism contributed to the loss of economic control by the colonialists. As Nigeria moved closer to independence, nationalist leaders demanded greater control over economic policy and natural resources. The colonial government was forced to concede ground, gradually relinquishing its grip on the Nigerian economy. By the time Nigeria gained independence in 1960, the economic landscape had shifted significantly, with Nigerians poised to take control of their own economic destiny (Kunstman, 2021, p.27-54).

British and French response to radical nationalism in west Africa: A comparison

The British and French responses to radical nationalism in West Africa differed significantly, shaped by their distinct colonial ideologies, administrative structures, and post-World War II geopolitical considerations.

British Approach:

Gradualism and Accommodation: The British initially adopted a policy of gradualism, aiming to prepare their colonies for eventual self-government through phased political reforms. They sought to co-opt moderate nationalist leaders into the existing colonial framework, offering limited concessions while maintaining overall control (Falola, 2024, p.142).

Indirect Rule: The British utilized indirect rule, governing through local chiefs and traditional structures. This approach aimed to preserve stability and minimize direct intervention, but it also created tensions between traditional authorities and emergent nationalist movements.

Response to Radicalism: When faced with radical nationalism, the British responded with a combination of repression and accommodation. They suppressed radical elements deemed subversive while attempting to address the underlying grievances that fueled nationalist sentiment.

Decolonization: The British, influenced by economic constraints and changing international norms, gradually embraced decolonization. They negotiated independence with nationalist leaders, often seeking to maintain close ties through the Commonwealth.

French Approach:

Assimilation and Centralization: The French colonial policy was based on assimilation, aiming to integrate Africans into French culture and society. They established a highly centralized administrative structure, with power concentrated in Paris.

Direct Rule: The French practiced direct rule, replacing traditional authorities with French administrators. This approach aimed to exert greater control but often alienated local populations and fueled resentment (Ajala, 2013, p.158).

Initial Resistance to Nationalism: The French initially resisted nationalist demands, viewing them as a threat to French unity and prestige. They suppressed nationalist movements and sought to maintain control through force.

Brazzaville Conference: In 1944, the Brazzaville Conference signaled a shift in French policy, with promises of reforms and greater African participation in government. However, these reforms fell short of granting full independence.

Later Accommodation: Faced with growing nationalist pressure and the Algerian War, the French gradually accommodated nationalist demands (Ismaila, 2024, p.249). They granted independence to most of their West African colonies in 1960 but sought to maintain close economic and political ties through the Fran Afrique system.

Comparative Analysis

Ideologically, the British approach was rooted in pragmatism and a belief in gradual self-government, while the French approach was based on assimilation and a desire to maintain French influence.

In administrative structures, the British utilized indirect rule, while the French practiced direct rule, leading to different patterns of interaction between colonial authorities and local populations.

In responses to radicalism, both the British and French suppressed radical nationalism, but the British were more willing to co-opt moderate nationalists, while the French initially resisted all forms of nationalist expression.

The British embraced decolonization earlier and more willingly than the French, who initially sought to maintain control through assimilation and direct rule. In summary, the British and French responses to radical nationalism in West Africa were shaped by their distinct colonial ideologies, administrative structures, and strategic interests. The British favored a gradualist approach, while the French initially resisted nationalist demands but later accommodated them due to growing pressure and changing circumstances.

Ideas and Ideologies

The idea of Pan-Africanism, which promotes the unity and solidarity of all people of African descent, influenced Nigerian nationalists. It provided a broader context for their struggle against colonialism and inspired them to seek solidarity with other African nations. The principle of self-determination, which asserts the right of a people to freely determine their political status and form of government, was a central tenet of Nigerian nationalism. Nationalists argued that Nigeria had the right to govern itself without external interference. A rejection of colonial rule and its associated injustices. Nationalists viewed colonialism as a system of exploitation and oppression that needed to be dismantled Falola & Daudu, 2017, p.68).

Conclusion

Radical nationalism in Nigeria from 1945 to 1960 was a pivotal period that significantly shaped the nation's trajectory towards independence. This era was characterized by the rise of assertive anti-colonial movements, the intensification of demands for self-governance, and the emergence of a distinct Nigerian identity. The period after World War II saw a surge in anti-colonial sentiments among Nigerians. This was fueled by the perceived injustices of colonial rule, including economic exploitation, political marginalization, and cultural alienation (Aderinto & Tijani, 2005, p.116). Various nationalist movements emerged, advocating for greater autonomy and eventual independence. These movements, such as the National Council of Nigeria and the Cameroons (NCNC) and the

Zikist Movement, mobilized popular support and challenged colonial authority. Nationalist leaders like Nnamdi Azikiwe, Herbert Macaulay, and Michael Imoudu played crucial roles in galvanizing support for independence. Their activities, including political activism, journalism, and trade unionism, helped raise political consciousness and mobilize the masses. Radical nationalists employed various methods of resistance, including strikes, protests, civil disobedience, and media campaigns, to challenge colonial rule and demand political change. The press became a powerful tool for disseminating nationalist ideas and mobilizing public opinion. World War II had a profound impact on Nigerian nationalism. Nigerian soldiers who fought alongside British forces returned home with a heightened sense of political awareness and a determination to fight for their rights and freedoms. Economic grievances, such as high cost of living, low wages, and discriminatory practices, also fueled radical nationalism. Trade unions played a significant role in organizing strikes and protests to demand better working conditions and economic justice Falola, 2010, p.78).

Challenges and Contradictions

Ethnic divisions posed a significant challenge to Nigerian nationalism. The country was characterized by diverse ethnic groups with distinct identities and interests, which sometimes led to tensions and conflicts. Regionalism also undermined national unity, as different regions of the country pursued their own political and economic agendas. The north-south divide created significant challenges for nation-building. The British colonial administration employed divide-and-rule tactics to weaken nationalist movements and maintain control. These strategies exacerbated ethnic and regional divisions, making it difficult to forge a united front against colonial rule. The era of radical nationalism in Nigeria from 1945 to 1960 was a transformative period that laid the foundation for the country's independence. Despite the challenges and contradictions, nationalist movements succeeded in mobilizing popular support, challenging colonial rule, and paving the way for the birth of a new nation. The legacy of this period continues to shape Nigerian politics and society, as the country grapples with issues of national identity, ethnic relations, and political stability.

References

Falola, T., & Aderinto, S. (2011). Nigeria, nationalism, and writing history. Boydell and Brewer.
Falola, T., & Aderinto, S. (2011). Political History In Nigeria, nationalism, and writing history. Boydell and Brewer.
Iweriebor, E. E. (1996). Radical Nationalists in Nigeria: The Significance of the Zikist
Hutington, Samuel, (1978), Clash of Civilizations, Routege publication, p.102..
Tijani, H. I. (2005). Britain, leftist nationalists and the transfer of power in Nigeria, 1945-1965. Routledge.
Nair, K. K. (2002) Imperial Factors in the Rise of Nationalism In Nigeria.
Nair, K. K. (1989). Politics And Society In South Eastern Nigeria 1941-1906. Afrian – Fed. Publishers Ltd.
Wilson, H. S. (1969). Origins Of West African Nationalism. Macmillan.
Webster, J. B., Et Al. (1967). West Africa Since 1800: The Revolutionary Years. Longman Group Ltd.
Longley, J. A. (1933). Pan-Africanism And Nationalism In West Africa 1900-1945. Oxford.
Rodney, W, (2005), How Europe Underdeveloped Africa (Revised Copy)
Lande, N. L. (1987) Radical Nationalism in British West Africa, 1945-60
Ikoku, S. G. (1962). Nigeria for Nigerians: a study of contemporary Nigerian politics from a.
Britain and the Foundation of Anti-Communist Policies in Nigeria, 1945-1960.
Coleman, J. S. (1972) The Development of Nationalism in Nigeria.
Hodgkin, T. (1988) Nigerian Perspectives: A Historical Anthology.
Falola, T., & Heaton, M. (1972) A History of Nigeria.
Ikime, O. (Ed.). (1972) Groundwork of Nigerian History.
Soyinka, W. (1986) The Open Sore of a Continent: A Personal Narrative of the Nigerian Crisis.
Achebe, C. (1988) The Trouble With Nigeria.
Obasanjo, O. (1982) My Command.
K.O. Dike (1980) and the National Archives of Nigeria
J. F. Ade Ajayi: (1981) Missionaries, Warfare, and Nationalism.
J. A. Atanda: (1989) Yoruba Ethnicity.
G. O. Olusanya: (1990) Contemporary Nigeria.
Rodney, W. (1972). How Europe Underdeveloped Africa. Bogle-Louverture Publications.
Hyam, R. (Ed.). (1994). British Documents on the end of empire, Conservative
Government and the End of Empire, 1957-1964. HMSO.
Alimi, S. I. (2023). Labour agitation, newspaper press and radical nationalism in Nigeria: Analysis of the Enugu Colliery Shootings. Labor History, 65
Falola, T., & Dauda, B. (2017). Decolonizing Nigeria, 1945-1960: Politics, Power, and Personalities. Austin, TX: Pan-African University Press.

Ismaila, W. A. (2024). Reluctant nationalists: World War II veterans and postwar nationalism in Nigeria, 1945-1960. The Journal of the Middle East and Africa, 15(3), 249-268.

Kunstmann, R. (2021). Fashioning nationalism and the shaping of the public sphere in 1950s Nigeria. Journal of West African History, 7(1), 27-54.

Olasupo, O., Oladeji, I. O., & Ijeoma, E. O. C. (2017). Nationalism and nationalist agitation in Africa: The Nigerian trajectory. The Review of Black Political Economy, 44(3-4), 261-283.

Falola, T. (2024). Understanding Colonial Nigeria: British Rule and Its Impact. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Ajala, A. S. (2013). Yoruba Nationalism: Culture, Politics and Violence in South-Western Nigeria (1900-2012). Cologne: Rüdiger Köppe Verlag.

Falola, T., & Aderinto, S. (2011). Nigeria, Nationalism, and Writing History. Rochester, NY: University of Rochester Press.

Aderinto, H. I. Tijani. (2005). Britain, Leftist Nationalists, and the Transfer of Power in Nigeria, 1945-1965. London: Routledge.

Falola, T. (2010). Colonialism and Violence in Nigeria. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press. Barnes & Noble, p.78